1	I mean, was that the easement that you had sold to the Park
2	Department or is that something different?
3	WITNESS: No, that was property that had been
4	acquired by the National Park Service. The 82 1/2 acre ranch
5	was within the total boundaries of the National Park.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Let me see if I can get a little
7	clarification on that. You had 82.5 acres and was it right in
8	the middle of Federal Park land?
9	WITNESS: Surrounding on all sides except the east
10	which was State land.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: So three sides were Federal land and
12	one side was State land.
13	WITNESS: Yes.
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: And where was the now, where was
15	the easement? How does the easement tie in on any of that
16	property?
17	WITNESS: The easement was a scenic easement which
18	was designed to limit any additional buildings
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: How big was it?
20	WITNESS: to be erected and any additional
21	buildings. The buildings that were there were allowed to
22	remain, were allowed to be rebuilt, but no additional
23	buildings could be allowed to be constructed.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: How big a piece of land was the
25	easement?

1	witness: 82 1/2 acres.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, I'm confused. 82 1/2. You mean
3	the whole all your property became an easement?
4	witness: It became a scenic easement which
5	basically there was restrictions of record that would not
6	allow any building in a new form, in a new area to be
7	constructed.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Let me see if I can just
9	bring this bring my question to a brief conclusion here. I
10	understand that in 1985, you sold an easement or a scenic
11	easement to the government, the Federal Government, as you
12	have described it for all 82 1/2 acres of your ranch for which
13	they paid you \$500,000. Is that correct?
14	WITNESS: That's correct.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, Mr. Zauner.
16	BY MR. ZAUNER:
17	Q At the time of your arrest, were there hidden rooms
18	located in your residence on the ranch?
19	A Well, I wouldn't call them hidden rooms. There were
20	rooms that did not have obvious access, but they were not
21	hidden.
22	Q Well, how many such rooms were there that you are
23	referring to?
24	A Well, I believe that you're referring to two
25	specific rooms.

1 0 Correct. This building that I lived in was an apartment 2 Α building that used to be a quest ranch and there were six 3 apartments, 20 by 20, each one with separate bathrooms and a 4 small kitchenette in each one. We remodeled the building or 5 were in the process -- never completed -- remodeling the 6 building, eliminated a couple of the bathrooms in the process, 7 and one of these rooms was a bathroom -- as you would call a 8 9 half-bath, and that's one area that had a door, but it had 10 been nailed up due to a court order. 11 During my process of divorce, my wife wanted the 12 rooms boarded up so that the children upon visitation would 13 not go upstairs to an area that had no flooring and any secure 14 position other than a few boards to get around. They wanted 15 that boarded up to prevent the children from falling through 16 or creating any danger. 17 Q Was that room upstairs then in the house, the one

- that you're talking about with the boarded door --
  - A No, all the rooms were downstairs.
- 20 Q -- or was that on the main level?

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Α They were all downstairs. But the access to them without a flooring and no ceiling in these rooms -- this was all torn out. The floor was torn out, the ceiling was torn out, so you had just basically a cubical with no top and no bottom.

1	Q Was one of these rooms used for the purpose of
2	growing marijuana?
3	A No.
4	Q Did one of these rooms have special lights in them
5	lights in it for the purpose of growing marijuana?
6	A No.
7	Q When the police arrested you, was there marijuana
8	debris located in either of these rooms to your knowledge?
9	A I was told there was marijuana debris in the room
10	that was the bathroom, that there was marijuana debris on the
11	floor mixed with Plaster of Paris and dirt.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Would you ask the witness what he
13	means by marijuana debris?
14	MR. ZAUNER: Yes.
15	BY MR. ZAUNER:
16	Q Could you state what marijuana debris is to your
17	understanding?
18	A Well, in this case, marijuana debris was the fallen
19	debris, mostly in the area of leaves in the process that I
20	hung a marijuana plant upside down in this room to dry.
21	Q You used this room then solely for drying of plant
22	leaves?
23	A Solely for drying of a plant. One time.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: And how much how much use can be
25	made of one marijuana plant or the one marijuana plant that

1	you hung in there to dry?	
2	WITNESS: How much di	d that marijuana plant what
3	was the amount of usable mariju	ana?
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes, s	ir.
5	WITNESS: Approximate	ely the total plant, I think,
6	yielded between say three ounce	s to four ounces.
7	BY MR. ZAUNER:	
8	Q Did you have any water	ring system running into either
9	of these rooms?	
10	A No, I did not.	
11	Q Do you have fluoresce	ent lights in either of these
12	rooms?	
13	A Yes, there was.	
14	Q And which room had th	e fluorescent lights?
15	A The additional room,	not the room we just spoke of.
16	But there was an additional roo	m which was a storage/air raid
17	shelter type room made of concr	ete block approximately six
18	feet wide and eight feet long.	
19	Q Was this room ever us	ed for purposes of growing
20	marijuana?	
21	A No, it was not.	
22	Q At the time you were	arrested, did you have in your
23	home any ledgers or records sho	wing sales of marijuana to
24	other individuals?	·
25	A Not that I was aware	of.

1	Q I'm going to show you a document and ask you if this
2	refreshes your recollection.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Can we get it marked?
4	MR. ZAUNER: No, Your Honor. I'm just showing it to
5	him for purposes of refreshing recollection.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, you have to identify it for the
7	record and let Counsel see it before you and ask for
8	permission to approach the witness with it. I want to see it,
9	too. Is that a copy for me?
10	MR. ZAUNER: That's a copy for you. Your Honor
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Before you show it to the go
12	ahead. I'm sorry.
13	MR. ZAUNER: I was just going to say I request
14	permission to show this to the witness.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: I want to wait until Mr. McCartin
16	is now looking at that one, Mr. Schattenfield. Mr. McCartin?
17	MR. McCARTIN: I've seen it, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you have any objection to him
19	showing the witness?
20	MR. McCARTIN: No, Your Honor.
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead. You may proceed. I'll
22	describe it for the record. In general terms, this is it's
23	one sheet of paper that's got seven hand-written items on it
24	with some calculations.
25	BY MR. ZAUNER:

1	Q Mr. Richards, have you ever seen this document
2	before?
3	A Yes, I have.
4	Q Can you tell us what it is?
5	A Well, it's a piece of paper with different coded-
6	type or descriptive-type reference to obviously money and
7	figures.
8	Q Where have you see this before?
9	A My attorney, Robert Hirsh, showed it to me.
10	Q Do you recognize the handwriting on this document?
11	A Well, I don't specifically, but I don't say that
12	it's not mine. I really don't know. I don't remember this
13	document. That's why I don't.
14	MR. ZAUNER: Your Honor, at this point, I'm going to
15	ask to have the document marked for identification as Mass
16	Media Bureau Exhibit Number 4.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. You may proceed and the
18	reporter will mark this one-page document as identified thus
19	far by the witness as Bureau's Exhibit Four for
20	identification.
21	(Whereupon, the document referred
22	to as Bureau Exhibit Number 4
23	was marked for identification.)
24	BY MR. ZAUNER:
25	Q Do you know this document was in your home at the

1	time you were arrested?
2	MR. McCARTIN: Objection, Your Honor. There's been
3	no foundation established that Mr. Richards knows what this
4	document is. He's testified that it is a document that his
5	attorney told him about, so I think that there's no basis for
6	Mr. Zauner's question.
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm going to sustain the objection at
8	this point. I want to see if you can perhaps lay some more
9	foundation, Mr. Zauner, to connect this witness to the
10	document.
11	BY MR. ZAUNER:
12	Q Is this your handwriting on this document?
13	A I have no way of knowing for sure if it is or was my
14	handwriting.
15	Q Do you know when you first saw the document?
16	MR. McCARTIN: Objection, Your Honor. This was
17	asked and answered, both of these questions.
18	MR. McCARTIN: Well, he said he'd seen it
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: I understand. No, I'm going to
20	overrule the objection. I'm just a little bit I want to
21	just go back to your answer with respect to not being able to
22	identify your handwriting. Do you can you generally
23	identify your handwriting? If you see something that you
24	wrote, can you identify it?
25	WITNESS: No.

1	JUDGE SIPPEL: You can't?
2	WITNESS: No well, I mean, if I knew I wrote that
3	
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: But I mean
5	WITNESS: and then someone said, "Did you write
6	that?" But to just pick something and say, "Did you write
7	this?" unless it was longhand and it was something in that
8	sense. But I don't
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, this is longhand.
10	WITNESS: Well, yes, Your Honor, it is, but it's
11	I mean, I had this question in the beginning and I would I
12	don't want to for the record, I don't want to say that it's
13	not mine, but I don't want to be quick to say that it was mine
14	because I'm not sure. In this place where they said they
15	found this was an area of storage and there were many, many
16	piles of paper work.
17	I save every piece of paper for 25 years, every
18	correspondence, every piece of paper. I store everything away
19	for the purpose of someday maybe needing it. They found this
20	supposedly in an area where I stored my papers. So that's why
21	
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Who's "they"? Who's the "they"?
23	WITNESS: The whoever the people who came on
24	their search warrant.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. And how did you find that

1	out? From your lawyer?
2	WITNESS: From my lawyer.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: And he showed it to you.
4	WITNESS: He showed it to me.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you ever deny that this was your
6	handwriting?
7	WITNESS: No one ever asked me.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: No one ever asked you until today?
9	WITNESS: Right.
10	BY MR. ZAUNER:
11	Q Do you know what the notation at the top, "1/4 #
12	brown" might refer to?
13	MR. McCARTIN: Objection, Your Honor. Once again,
14	the foundation has not been laid. He's asking
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'm satisfied. I mean, he's
16	he has not rejected it as being his handwriting. He said it
17	probably was found in a collection of documents that he has
18	been that he himself had been storing in this area and it
19	was in the area that apparently the police were searching. I
20	think there's enough of a connection to allow to come in for
21	further cross examination. I don't know what weight I'm going
22	to ascribe to it when we're finished here.
23	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, I don't unless I
24	misheard, I don't think that he said he stored it. I thought
25	it was, it was found in a place where his papers are stored.

1	There's a difference in order to connect it to the witness.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Don't start suggesting answers to
3	this witness, now. I'm going to excuse the witness. Would
4	you step outside for just a minute, Mr. Richards? You can use
5	this door right here.
6	(Whereupon, the witness exited the room.)
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: I distinctly heard him say that he
8	kept his documents stored in this area. I didn't hear him say
9	anything about anybody else assembling his documents and
10	storing them there.
11	MR. McCARTIN: I agree. He said that he keeps his
12	documents in that area, but that doesn't mean that this
13	document was one that he put in that area. If you have if
14	he puts 500 documents in a particular area and there are
15	another hundred that someone else put there, it doesn't mean
16	that the other hundred were put there by him. That's the only
17	distinction. When I heard your characterization, I thought
18	that you were reiterating his testimony that he had put this
19	document in that place and I didn't hear that from him.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, my conclusion that I have drawn
21	is that he this document came from the whatever you want
22	to call it, a stack of documents or a collection of documents
23	that he had assembled in that area.
24	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: No, he didn't say that.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, that's the only inference to

1	draw from what he said.
2	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: No, sir. If I may be heard.
3	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, yes. That's what we're
4	here for.
5	MR. SCHATTENFIELD: This is a storage area. He
6	stored things there. He did not say he was the only one that
7	stored there. He said, "I kept things there, but I don't know
8	if this was it." It's possible that if Mr. Zauner asks him,
9	that they got the property I don't know when, but there
10	were other people using that property for a long period of
11	time.
12	Mr. Zauner may be able to establish through the
13	witness that this is where he stored papers and nobody else
14	did. But that question has never been asked or answered. The
15	impression I got from listening to his testimony is he put
16	stuff there, but other people may or may not have. That door
17	was not closed is my point.
18	JUDGE SIPPEL: Ask Mr. Richards to come back in,
19	please.
20	(Whereupon, the witness re-entered the room.)
21	JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Richards, please take the stand
22	again. You're still under oath. Did you hear anything that
23	was discussed in this courtroom while you were outside?
24	WITNESS: No.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: I heard you testify that you that

1	this document you were told by your attorney that and
2	these are not your literal words now, but this is what I
3	understood your testimony to be, that this document which is
4	marked as Exhibit Four for identification was shown to you by
5	your attorney and that your attorney said that he had found it
6	in an area and that you understood or you had told us this
7	morning that that area was where you stored you had been
8	storing documents for 25 years. In sum and substance, is that
9	essentially correct? Have I been misled some way?
10	WITNESS: No, I think did I say
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did I mislead myself?
12	WITNESS: that the attorney found it or that the
13	
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: That the attorney showed it to you.
15	WITNESS: The attorney showed it to me.
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: And it was found by apparently,
17	during the course of this search, which could've been
18	logically some police authority.
19	WITNESS: Yes, yes. That's the way I understood it.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: And am I correct in saying that your
21	testimony is that you kept documents in that area for 25 years
22	and that therefore, you were having difficulty in determining
23	whether or not this was your handwriting or not?
24	WITNESS: Well
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: I'm not trying to put words in your

1	mouth. I'm trying to
2	WITNESS: Right. We kept all documents pertaining
3	to the ranch for 25 years, whether it was my wife's, whether
4	it was guarantees on different things you might buy. In other
5	words, all any and all paperwork at all. To give an
6	example, when I moved, we probably moved 25, 30 boxes of
7	paperwork out of that room.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: And who were the ones that
9	contributed towards creating that file of documents? You were
10	one obviously.
11	WITNESS: I was one.
12	JUDGE SIPPEL: Who else?
13	WITNESS: I was the major,
14	JUDGE SIPPEL: You were the major contributor. Who
15	else?
16	WITNESS: My ex-wife.
17	JUDGE SIPPEL: How frequently would she place
18	documents in there or produce a document that would be placed
19	in there? Roughly percentage-wise.
20	WITNESS: Percentage-wise, between ten and fifteen
21	percent maybe. She might put some documents in there. I
22	probably put it in there and kept track of things in that
23	area, you know, as a place to put things, maybe sixty-five
24	percent of the time.
25	JUDGE SIPPEL: Then who else is left?

1	WITNESS: Different people who lived on the property
2	for a good part of the 25 years. We had four homes, five
3	apartments, and throughout the 25-year period, except for the
4	last three years, there was always ten to twelve to fifteen
5	people occupying and specifically occupying that building up
6	until '85 when we then discontinued the apartments and
7	converted it to a home.
8	JUDGE SIPPEL: Were they tenants?
9	WITNESS: They were people who worked there.
10	JUDGE SIPPEL: They didn't have any ownership
11	interest.
12	WITNESS: No ownership.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: They were employees.
14	WITNESS: In a sense, yes. It was more of a
15	communal-type they weren't hired on a per hour basis or
16	anything. They put their effort and work into it and they
17	were given a place to stay, food, and anything that we needed
18	as a group was provided.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, would those kinds of people have
20	be able to put documents in this area and take them out
21	again as they saw fit?
22	WITNESS: Oh, yes. Everybody had free access to it.
23	It was not locked. There was no door.
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: What I'm saying is, is that what the
25	procedure was, that if they had a piece of paper and they

wanted to put it in there, they could and if they wanted to go in and take it, they could come out and take it out? 2 3 the way you were operating things? I mean, that's the way it was, yes. 4 WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE SIPPEL: Why would they have a reason to 5 create a document and put it in there or to take a document 6 7 out? Each one of WITNESS: Only for storage purposes. 8 9 these rooms of the six apartments had limited storage space. 10 JUDGE SIPPEL: Some people have limited storage 11 space, let's say in a family, but usually the -- you know, if 12 you have five children in a family and they're keeping their 13 stuff at home, they usually have either a box that's marked 14 theirs or it's in a file that's marked theirs or somehow or 15 other, you -- you know, you don't have -- you don't have one 16 person's documents mingled with another person's documents if 17 you -- under the conditions that you're talking about. 18 trying to make sense out of this. 19 Then maybe I should clarify this as WITNESS: Yes. 20 to how this room was set up. I had two file cabinets. I kept 21

witness: Yes. Then maybe I should clarify this as to how this room was set up. I had two file cabinets. I kept all my personal belongings in the file cabinets relating to insurance policies, credit cards, and so forth, all types of personal belongings, in the file cabinet, alphabetized for easy access. Then there was an area of the total wall that had shelf space that housed all non-important, in a sense,

22

23

24

25

1	documents and magazines and farm journals and any papers where
2	I would write notes. I kept everything and so I stored the
3	non-essential documents in piles as they were written or
4	received in piles on this wall on these shelves.
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Did you set that system up? I mean,
6	were you the one that was sort of in charge of that system?
7	WITNESS: Well, I would say I was mostly in charge
8	of it, yes.
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I mean, somebody was giving some
10	direction to this.
11	WITNESS: Well, unfortunately there wasn't much of a
12	system there. It was just stack a pile here, stack a pile
13	there, add to this pile. When it got too big, it became a
14	pile on top another pile or it was kind of a storage thing.
15	There wasn't much of a system to it except
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well go ahead. I'm sorry to
17	interrupt you. That is a system that you're describing.
18	WITNESS: Well, yes.
19	JUDGE SIPPEL: To the extent that is a system, who
20	was the one who was most responsible for seeing that it was
21	done that way?
22	WITNESS: I'd have to say I was.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: Now, when but definitely the two
24	file cabinets was your that was your domain. I mean, that
25	was your documents.

1	WITNESS: That's correct.
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: What about your wife, your ex-wife?
3	Did your ex-wife have documents of her own that she would put
4	in that file cabinet?
5	WITNESS: Yes, she did.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: And was there a system within the
7	file cabinets? That is were things there for property
8	repairs, a file for tax matters, a file for this type of
9	thing? You're nodding yes.
10	WITNESS: Yes. I agree. There was.
11	JUDGE SIPPEL: Was there any documentation kept in
12	that file cabinet with respect to what you your marijuana
13	growings?
14	WITNESS: No.
15	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. Now, when your attorney
16	showed you this document, Bureau Exhibit Four for
17	identification, where did he tell you he found the document?
18	WITNESS: This document was found in all these
19	papers located on the wall as they went through every paper.
20	JUDGE SIPPEL: How do you know that?
21	WITNESS: Well, I think it talks about that, where
22	they found it, in the initial evidence.
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: What are you talking about?
24	WITNESS: The evidence the evidence report, I
25	think, explained that they found these papers amongst other

papers stored on the shelves in amongst, I think it was, or 1 close to the bottom of a particular stack. 2 JUDGE SIPPEL: All right. I hear you. Again, I 3 just want to be sure that I'm understanding your testimony 4 The attorney that showed you this, what was his name? 5 WITNESS: Robert Hirsh. 6 JUDGE SIPPEL: Mr. Hirsh. Now, that's how we first 7 started -- your first testimony with respect to this document 8 9 is that you first saw it -- well, let me correct my sentence 10 That you saw it when Mr. Hirsh showed it to you. here. 11 WITNESS: Correct. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: And he had identified it as coming That's as much as -- that's my recollection 13 from that room. 14 of what you testified to. You didn't testify that he had 15 taken it from such and such or that he told you where in the 16 room he had taken it from and my question -- my question to 17 you here and now is did Mr. Hirsh tell you where he had -where this document had come from in the search? 18 19 Well, he referred to the evidence report WITNESS: 20 and then I read the evidence report because he wanted to know 21 what the document was in relationship to and in the evidence 22 report, it stated that it was found in the room amongst a 23 stack of papers somewhere's towards the bottom from what I 24 remember. 25 JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, was it -- was the -- was -- are

1	you going to do you have this evidence report, Mr. Zauner?
2	Is this going to come in?
3	MR. ZAUNER: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE SIPPEL: Maybe I'm getting too far ahead of
5	myself on this thing. All of this started was because I
6	didn't the witness was unable to really say yes or no with
7	respect to whether or not he wrote the document. Do you want
8	to proceed then? I'll stop here for the time being and ask
9	you to proceed on this line, unless you don't have anything
10	more on this.
11	MR. ZAUNER: Yeah. I can continue, Your Honor, just
12	a question or two.
13	JUDGE SIPPEL: All right, go ahead. Proceed, Mr.
14	Zauner.
15	BY MR. ZAUNER:
16	Q In the office where you kept your papers, you had
17	shelves. Is that correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And on those shelves, there were also papers
20	located, documents of various kinds?
21	A Mostly, yes. Newspapers of importance, magazines
22	relating to articles of farming, all and every type of paper,
23	of notes given to me that were taken by my wife or notes that
24	were taken by myself or daily errands or just any and
25	anything. In other words, I didn't throw anything out.

1	Q Were you generally familiar with what was located on
2	these shelves?
3	A No. There was no assemblence of order. The only
4	assemblence of order was within the file cabinets.
5	Q You spoke earlier of a Mr. Clemmons. Is he a
6	relative of yours?
7	A Yes, he is.
8	Q What is his first name?
9	A Terrence.
10	Q Does he go by the name Terry?
11	A Yes.
12	Q I'm going to call your attention to the third line
13	down, "Paid by check to Terry".
14	MR. McCARTIN: Your Honor, can I interpose an
15	objection here?
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Yes. I understand your objection.
17	I'm treating this again as part of the voir dire. It's not in
18	yet, but I'm giving him leeway on cross examination for voir
19	dire purposes. Go ahead. I'll overrule the objection, if
20	that's what it is. Go ahead, Mr. Zauner.
21	BY MR. ZAUNER:
22	Q Are you aware of any transaction with
23	JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't think you have an answer from
24	your question.
25	MR. ZAUNER: I'm sorry. I'm not sure I asked a

1	question. I think I was interrupted before I reached a
2	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, weren't you going to ask him
3	something about this reference to Terry on Item Three?
4	BY MR. ZAUNER:
5	Q Looking at the name Terry, does that look like your
6	handwriting?
7	JUDGE SIPPEL: Do you see where it is?
8	WITNESS: Yes, I do. Well, I wouldn't rule it out.
9	I'm for the record, I'm not trying to be evasive here.
10	It's just that I wouldn't rule it out. In fact, I would give
11	you more than a 50/50 percent that this is my handwriting.
12	I'm not trying to deny that. But I do not remember it and I
13	don't remember writing this page. So that's why I'm a little
14	reluctant to say, "This is my handwriting. I wrote this
15	page."
16	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, 51 percent is good enough for
17	me. This is coming in. It'll go to weight, but it's in as
18	evidence now as Exhibit 4.
19	(Whereupon, the document referred
20	to as Bureau Exhibit Number 4
21	was received into evidence.)
22	JUDGE SIPPEL: Go ahead, Mr. Zauner.
23	BY MR. ZAUNER:
24	Q Do you have any idea why you may have written,
25	taking a look at Line Three on Mass Media Bureau Exhibit Four,

1	1/4 # brown, 200, pd I guess P-D, paid by C-K, check, to
2	Terry?
3	MR. McCARTIN: Objection, Your Honor.
4	MR. ZAUNER: If you did write
5	JUDGE SIPPEL: Just a second.
6	MR. McCARTIN: Mr. Richards has testified that he
7	doesn't remember the document or writing it, so to ask him why
8	is
9	JUDGE SIPPEL: I sustain the objection.
10	BY MR. ZAUNER:
11	Q Do you have any idea do you have any recollection
12	of having written I'm sorry. Let me withdraw that. Do you
13	have any recollection of Terry Clemmons having paid you a
14	check in the amount of \$200 for marijuana?
15	A Terry Clemmons paid me?
16	Q \$200 for marijuana.
17	A No.
18	Q Looking at this sheet, it says, "1/4 # brown." Do
19	you have any idea what that might be referring to?
20	MR. McCARTIN: Objection, Your Honor. Speculation
21	again. Mr. Richards has already testified that he doesn't
22	remember this document, so how can he have any idea what it
23	means?
24	JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I'll tell you how he could have
25	an idea because somebody might have been able to tell him what

1 | it meant or might've given him some ideas of what it meant or he might've talked to people about this document. I think 2 that we can -- we can lay a further foundation by asking him 3 if he has any understanding as to what these notations on the document mean. 5 BY MR. ZAUNER: 6 Do you have any understanding as to what these 7 notations on this document mean? 8 9 Well, after it was explained to me what my attorney 10 thought it was, I had an --11 I would like to know do you have any 0 12 independent understanding of what these indications on this 13 document may mean. I'm not interested in what your attorney 14 may have informed you they meant. But your personal knowledge 15 is what I'm interested in. 16 Well, I think so. I think it relates to whether it was my handwriting or not -- I'm not sure. But it would -- it 17 18 seems to me what this relates to is a quarter and then there's 19 the pound sign, brown, \$200, paid by check to Terry. Now, if 20 you're asking me if I ever gave Terry any money for marijuana, 21 I have. So let me submit that right off the top. I bought 22 marijuana for 25 years. 23 What does brown mean? JUDGE SIPPEL: 24 WITNESS: I think that brown would be the color.

> FREE STATE REPORTING, INC. Court Reporting Depositions D.C. Area (301) 261-1902 Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, is that a term of art?

25

1	you say you've got 25 years experience with this stuff. Is
2	that a word of art so to speak? I mean, does brown mean
3	something?
4	WITNESS: Yes. If it was brown or if it was red or
5	it was green.
6	JUDGE SIPPEL: So you're familiar with the term
7	brown in this context.
8	WITNESS: Yes.
9	BY MR. ZAUNER:
10	Q The next line down, 10 # brown, 7,000, and then it's
11	paid 6,300, \$700 due. Do you have any idea what that might be
12	referring to?
13	A Well, from my analyzation of this, that would be
14	ten, the pound sign, brown, \$7,000 or it doesn't say
15	dollars, but it says 7,000, paid 6,300, 700 is due. So that
16	would lead me to believe that this was ten pounds of brown,
17	that the total cost was \$7,000. I paid 63 and I had 700 due.
18	Q Let me ask you to take a look at Item Number Six, 1
19	1/4 and there's a pound sign, "green loose that was in trunk
20	after I left," with \$6,300 and then there's a \$700 amount to
21	the right. Do you have any idea what that transaction what
22	that
23	A I think that's a duplicate. If you notice, it's the
24	same \$700 figure on the right. It's the same \$6,300 figure
25	with it, so I think what that's signifying there is there was